

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/208,696	12/10/1998	YASUYUKI SEKINE	RM.HPK	8464
23548 7590 01/16/2007 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			EXAMINER	
			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3711	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Art Unit: 3711

Page 2



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAM

3.00

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

WHILED

1JAN 1 2 2001

Application Number: 09/208,696 Filing Date: December 10, 1998 Appellant(s): SEKINE, YASUYUKI

Group 3700

Jeffrey A. Wyand For Appellant

#### **EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 12/27/05 appealing from the Office action mailed 11/1/05.

## (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

Art Unit: 3711

Page 3

## (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

## (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

## (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

#### (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

## (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

## (7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

## (8) Evidence Relied Upon

JP 5-68733

SANKYO K.K./SHOHACHI 3-1993

**UGAWA** 

Art Unit: 3711

## (9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

#### Regarding claim 17

Sankyo (Ugawa) teaches a gaming machine with a plurality of independently rotatable reels, rotatable about a common axis (see figures 19, 22 & 24), a reel sheet, with a plurality of symbols, attached to each reel (see figure 22), a display window for viewing symbols of at least two parallel lines to the common axis when stopped (see figure 19) a display that has 2 or more identical symbols appearing serially, as shown in the main figure of his invention, figure 22 and in figure 19.

Sankyo (Ugawa) does not explicitly show, in figure 22, a symbol appearing serially on his center reel; however, he teaches that symbols appearing serially are known by his illustration in fig. 22 (see outer reel). It would be a mere duplication to include symbols in serial on the center reel. Such duplication is well known in the art.

Furthermore, implicit in his teaching is appearance of each symbol on each reel since his invention teaches that three "7" or "Bar" (or other patterns) visible through 202, 203 & 204 is the probability being sought [0058]. Since other patterns may be used, to meet these criteria all patterns would need to be on each reel.

Additionally, inherent in the design of slot/gaming machines is the teaching of all symbols/patterns being on each reel. Three like symbols in a horizontal win line is a condition well known in the art for a "win".

Art Unit: 3711

2711

Regarding claim 18

Sankyo (Ugawa) teaches a display window that provides for the viewing of

symbols when reels are stopped and the displaying of a winning line and lines that do

not provide a winning state (see figure 19 & 21).

Regarding claim 19

Sankyo (Ugawa) teaches a display with three reels (see figure 19).

Regarding claims 20-23, 26-27 & 29-32

Sankyo (Ugawa) teaches that predetermined game value (winning state) is

attained when the display result, at the time of a halt of two or more displays, becomes

a the combination of the identification information for a hit defined before hand (see

[0005]. Inherent in this teaching is any predefined combinations of win definitions within

the machine display area (as desired by establishment).

It would have been an obvious design issue to have any predetermined winning

state based on any combination, vertical, horizontal or diagonal. Such would present no

difficulty to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 21 & 28

Sankyo K.K. (Ugawa) teaches a display of one symbol appearing serially at least

two times (see figure 22).

Page 5

## Regarding claim 24

Sankyo (Ugawa) does not explicitly teach the colors of his symbols. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use whatever color desired since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of color does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability.

#### Regarding claim 25

Sankyo (Ugawa) teaches a display that has 2 or more identical symbols appearing serially, as shown in the main figure of his invention, figure 22 and in figure 19. Sankyo (Ugawa) does not explicitly show, in figure 22, a symbol appearing serially on his center reel; however, he teaches that symbols appearing serially are known by his illustration in fig. 22 (see outer reel). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the symbols of each reel since it has been held that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding the type/combination of symbol/indicia on each reel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use whatever indicia desired since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of color does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability.

## (10) Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but are not considered persuasive. Examiner disagrees with applicant's arguments regarding symbols in serial and symbols appearing on all reels. Sankyo (Ugawa) does not explicitly show, in figure 22, a symbol appearing serially on his center reel; however, he teaches that symbols appearing serially are known by his illustration in fig. 22 (see outer reel). It would be a mere duplication to include symbols in serial on the center reel. Such duplication is well known in the art.

Furthermore, implicit in his teaching is appearance of each symbol on each reel since his invention teaches three "7" or "Bar" (or other patterns) visible through 202, 203

Art Unit: 3711

& 204 is the probability being sought [0058]. Since other patterns may be used, to meet this criteria all patterns would need to be on each reel.

Inherent in the design of slot/gaming machines is the teaching of all symbols/patterns being on each reel. Three like symbols in a horizontal win line is a condition well known in the art for a "win".

## (11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

7

**Examiner Collins** 

Conferees:

Eugene Kim, SPE

Primary Mendiratta

12/28/06